



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/689,245	10/11/2000	David Wayne Kelleher	G&C 139.142-US-U1	7831	
22462 7:	590 06/13/2003		•		
GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050			EXAMINER',		
			IQBAL, KHAWAR		
LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2681	Ų.	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/689,245		KELLEHER, DAVID WAYNE			
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Khawar Iqbal		2681			
	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply		, 10 0ET TO EVD	IDE oo MONTI	(O) FDOM			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the second	TUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. ed above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply cified above, the maximum statutory period wat or extended period for reply will, by statute, ffice later than three months after the mailing ent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, hower within the statutory mini ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tin mum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time! the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 11 C	October 2000 .					
2a) This action is I	FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-fir	nal.	•			
closed in acco	lication is in condition for allowardance with the practice under a				ne merits is		
Disposition of Claims	•						
,	s/are pending in the application						
	e claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from considera	ation.				
5)☐ Claim(s)							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is	s/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirer	nent.				
9) ☐ The specification	n is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) f	iled on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objecte	ed to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may r	not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed dr	awing correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	d b)□ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.		
If approved, cor	rected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office act	ion.				
12)☐ The oath or decl	aration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgme	nt is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)∐ All b)∐ Soi	me * c)☐ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified	copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.				
2.☐ Certified	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
applic	f the certified copies of the prior cation from the International Bui detailed Office action for a list	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for domesti	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).		
	tion of the foreign language pro t is made of a claim for domesti	• •			·		
Attachment(s)		-					
3) Information Disclosure St	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>04</u>	5) 🔲		v (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Ac	tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 0	4		

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9,11-23,25-37,38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Aravamudan et al (6301609).
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 2 Aravamudan et al teaches a method for enabling cellular instant messaging comprising (abstract):

receiving a telemetry message that indicates the availability on a cellular network of a first cellular phone (col. 7, lines 20-65);

storing information regarding the first cellular phone in an instant messaging database, wherein the information comprises a buddy list (col.4, lines 30-45, col. 6, lines 10-30, col. 9, lines 55-65); and

transmitting a browser alert to one or more relevant buddies identified in the buddy list (col.7 lines 1-40, col. 8, lines 35-45, col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 25).

Regarding claims 3,4,13,14,17,18,27,28,31,32 and 40,41 Aravamudan et al teaches wherein the telemetry message is a registration notification message and the telemetry message further indicates that a cellular phone has been powered on and the

Art Unit: 2681

information further comprises a customer's profile for the cellular phone (col. 2,lines 25-45 and see above).

Regarding claims 5-7,19-21,33-35 and 42 Aravamudan et al teaches wherein the instant messaging database is maintained by an instant messaging partner (col. 4, lines 30-45, col. 6, lines 10-65, see above).

Regarding claims 8,9,22,23 and 36,37 Aravamudan et al teaches wherein the one or more relevant buddies comprise buddies on the first cellular phone's buddy list and wherein the one or more relevant buddies comprise computers connected to the Internet (col. 6, lines 10-65, see above).

Regarding claims 11 and 12 Aravamudan et al teaches a method for enabling cellular instant messaging comprising (abstract):

transmitting, from a first cellular phone, a telemetry message that indicates the first cellular phone's availability on a cellular network (col.6, lines 10-45, col. 9, lines 55-65);

receiving a browser alert, on the first cellular phone, indicating availability of buddies on a buddy list of the first cellular phone (col. 7 lines 1-40, col. 8, lines 35-45, col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 25).

Regarding claims 15 and 16 Aravamudan et al teaches a system for enabling cellular instant messaging comprising (abstract):

an instant messaging database configured to maintain information regarding a first cellular phone, wherein the information comprises a buddy list (col. 4, lines 30-45, col. 6, lines 10-30, col. 9, lines 55-65);

Art Unit: 2681

a cellular network; and a server configured to:

receive a telemetry message from a cellular phone that indicates the availability of the first cellular phone on the cellular network (col.7, lines 20-65); and

transmit a browser alert to one or more relevant buddies identified in the buddy list (col. 7 lines 1-40, col. 8, lines 35-45, col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 25).

Regarding claims 25 and 26 Aravamudan et al teaches a system for enabling cellular instant messaging comprising a first cellular phone configured to (abstract):

transmit a telemetry message that indicates the first cellular phone's availability on a cellular network (col. 6, lines 10-45, col. 9, lines 55-65);

receive a browser alert indicating availability of buddies on a buddy list of the first cellular phone (col. 4, lines 30-45, col. 6, lines 10-30, col. 9, lines 55-65).

Regarding claims 29 and 30 Aravamudan et al teaches an article of manufacture comprising a program storage medium readable by a computer hardware device and embodying one or more instructions executable by the computer hardware device to perform a method for enabling cellular instant messaging, the method comprising (abstract):

receiving a telemetry message that indicates the availability on a cellular network of a first cellular phone (col. 7, lines 20-65);

storing information regarding the first cellular phone in an instant messaging database, wherein the information comprises a buddy list (col. 4, lines 30-45, col. 6, lines 10-30, col. 9, lines 55-65); and

Art Unit: 2681

transmitting a browser alert to one or more relevant buddies identified in the buddy list (col. 4, lines 30-45, col. 6, lines 10-30, col. 9, lines 55-65).

Regarding claims 39 and 40 Aravamudan et al teaches an article of manufacture comprising a program storage medium readable by a computer hardware device and embodying one or more instructions executable by the computer hardware device to perform a method for enabling cellular instant messaging, the method comprising (abstract):

transmitting, from a first cellular phone, a telemetry message that indicates the first cellular phone's availability on a cellular network (col. 6, lines 10-45, col. 9, lines 55-65);

receiving a browser alert, on the first cellular phone, indicating availability of buddies on a buddy list of the first cellular phone (col. 7 lines 1-40, col. 8, lines 35-45, col. 8, line 60-col. 9, line 25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10,24,38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aravamudan et al (6301609) and further in view of Godlewski (6421354).

Regarding claims 10,24 and 39 Aravamudan et al does not specifically teach utilizing a short message service to deliver text messages using the cellular phone. On the other

Art Unit: 2681

hand, Godlewski from the same field of endeavor discloses utilizing a short message service to deliver text messages using the cellular phone (col. 14, lines 1-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Aravamudan et al by specifically adding short message service for the purpose of increasing efficiency of the system taught by Godlewski.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure Szymansky (6557029), Appelman et al (6539421), Ogle et al (6430604) teach instant messaging.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is 703-306-3015.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Dwayne Bost**, can be reached at 703-305-4778.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2684 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2681

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Khawar Iqbal

1-5-03